

## Motivations for the RPC Upgrade Summary of arguments

Piet Verwilligen

Università & INFN Bari

RPC Upgrade Worshop 14-15/10/2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Upgrade of RE3/1 and RE4/1



#### What are we talking about?

- 2 × 36 10° chambers in RE3/1 and 2 × 36 10° chambers in RE4/1
- Foreseen in Muon TDR (1997), but up to  $|\eta| < 2.1$
- RPCs able to handle high bkgnd *O*(500 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>) rates in this zone
- Now extended up to  $|\eta| < 2.4$ if integration allows RE3/1 1.8 <  $|\eta| < 2.4$

- RPC Technology tbd (MultiGap vs Double Gap)
- η coordinate tbd (readout both strip ends vs η-parts)

# Motivation for RE3/1 & RE4/1

• In the past  $\Rightarrow$  two separate paths to motivate the upgrade:

#### Technology independent

- Prove that CMS needs any kind of detectors in this zone
- Redundancy Argument (in Trigger & Reconstruction)
- Will be the focus of this presentation

#### Technology dependent

- What benefits can fast O(75 ns) detectors bring to CMS?
  - Pile-Up mitigation of factor 10
  - ▶ Beneficial for Double Muon trigger in 1.9 < |η| < 2.4</p>

Limited Physics case

#### CMS Technical Proposal (1994)

The design goals of CMS were defined as follows:

- 1) a very good and redundant muon system,
- 2) the best possible electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) consistent with 1),
- 3) a high quality central tracking to achieve 1) and 2),
- 4) a financially affordable detector.

# Redundancy Arguments included in Technical Proposal

#### Use of RE3/1 and RE4/1 in L1 Trigger

- Combination of RPC and CSC information improves Local Trigger
- inefficiency due to CSC HV-spacer
- Same for GE2/1, RE3/1 and RE4/1
- Plot included in TP [S. Dildick]



#### Restore redundancy in forward region

- Redundancy is missing exactly where highest background rate is expected
- Shown are the \u03c6-coordinates used for bending measurement of muon track
- Plot included in TP

[A. Castanedo, A. Safanov & P. Verwilligen]



4 / 8

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 唐 ト ▲ 唐 ト 三 唐 … のへで

- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



- Quality of Stand-Alone-Muon reco can be read from the width of the q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution
- Core of q/p<sub>T</sub> distr. is gaussian, but has large tails. Therefore we will be comparing the RMS of q/p<sub>T</sub> distribution in several scenarios
- Upgrade is able to recover the core width (σ) if ME1/1 is missing at 0 PU (2019 scenario) and nearly completely at PU 140 for the RMS
- Similar Studies done for all other stations: removing ME2/1 (then ME3/1 and ME4/1) and investigating the recovery brought by the complete upgrade
- Vizualizing the recovery with:

 $\frac{({\sf No~MEX}/1~{\sf station} + {\sf Upgrade})}{({\sf No~MEX}/1 + {\sf No~Upgrade})} \lesssim 1$ 

- Standalone muon performance is restored in case of failure of MEX/1 station adding the full upgrade for the 2023 PU = 140 scenario
- Recovery effect is strongest for missing ME1/1, recovered by GE1/1, less for REX/1



# Further investigations of Hits in Stand Alone Muon Reco

- Hits & Segments used in Stand Alone Muon Fit

 $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.1$  bin:

2015 94% in [3-7] hits/segments

2023 89% in [6-8] hits/segments

2.1 < |η| < 2.4 bin: 2015 90% in [3-4] hits/segments 2023 95% in [5-8] hits/segments



6 / 8

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

# Further investigations of Hits in Stand Alone Muon Reco

- Hits & Segments used in Stand Alone Muon Fit

 $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.1$  bin:

2015 94% in [3-7] hits/segments

2023 89% in [6-8] hits/segments

 $\begin{array}{l} 2.1 < |\eta| < 2.4 \text{ bin:} \\ 2015 \quad 90\% \text{ in [3-4]} \\ & \text{hits/segments} \\ 2023 \quad 95\% \text{ in [5-8]} \\ & \text{hits/segments} \end{array}$ 



6 / 8

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 ト ● ④ ● ●

# Further investigations of Hits in Stand Alone Muon Reco

#### Stand Alone Muon Reco efficiency with ideal muon

detector conditions

- Assume detector degradation for all detectors involved
- Effect largest in not-upgraded (top) scenario in  $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.1$  and  $2.1 < |\eta| < 2.4$  bins
- ► Effect mitigated in upgraded (bottom) scenario (uniform in 1.2 < |η| < 2.4)</p>

 Remaining: Barrel/Endcap transition and Barrel Gaps

Plot included in TP

[P. Paolucci & P. Verwilligen]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

### Conclusion

- Efforts have been put in proving that CMS benefits from the RPC upgrade in RE3/1 and RE4/1, without specifying the technology to be used (generic RPCs).
- The redundancy argument is the strongest argument we have to defend the installation of detectors in RE3/1 and RE4/1.
- The Local Trigger (Stub) Efficiency is improved and more measurement hits are available in most difficult region. The Effect of chamber degradation is studied with a toy model.
- The toy model tells us (looking at the red line of 60% segment/rechit reco eff) that installing additional muon chambers (GEM and RPC) in the 2023 geometry leads to a recovery of the muon reconstruction efficiency with 18% in 2.1 < |η| < 2.4 and 10% in 1.6 < |η| < 2.1 region.</p>
- One can observe that under the assumption of a huge loss of segment/hit reconstruction efficiency (60% efficient) the reduncancy leads to a uniform ~ 94% reconstruction efficiency in the endcap region 1.2 < |η| < 2.4.</p>

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <